

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,763	06/20/2000	Kazuo Hata	2000 0776A	5987
75	90 02/26/2003			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP Suite 800 2033 K Street NW			EXAMINER	
			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	12
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• (			A9-1			
		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/597,763	HATA ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		William P. Watkins III	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period fo	r <b>Reply</b> Drtened Statutory Period for Reply	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH/	S) FROM			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 L</u>	December 2002 .				
2a)⊠	·	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are withdrawr	from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763

Art Unit: 1772

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application contains claims 8-14 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 23 April 2002. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al. (U.S. 5,733,499).

Takeuchi et al. teaches a surface roughness of a ceramic sheet of less than .2 microns, the ceramic may be made of partially stabilized zirconia, a transition metal oxide may be used as a sintering aide and the sheet may be used as a fuel cell element (col. 4, lines 5-30, col. 6, lines 10-20 and col.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763

Art Unit: 1772

6, lines 55-65). The instant invention claims a ceramic sheet with a burr height and dimple height less than 100 microns. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a surface roughness of less than .2 microns would limit burr and dimple heights to less than 100 microns because of the teachings of Takeuchi et al. that low surface defects are desired (col. 1, lines 25-30).

4. Applicant's arguments filed 05 December 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Takeuchi et al. teaching of a smooth surface and minimum surface imperfections greater than 10 microns in height, applies only to micro surface features and not macro surface features such as edge burrs and surface waviness, which result from punching and baking of the ceramic greensheet. The position of the examiner is that Takeuchi et al. teaches an even thickness (col. 5, line 67) and an absence of surface defects along with excellent smoothness (col. 6, lines 15-20) and that there is no teaching in the reference that would exclude features such a burrs or dimples from being considered as surface imperfections and no teaching in the reference that an even thickness is compatible with waviness in

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

the surface. There is no explicit teaching of waviness or burrs in Takeuchi et al. and no evidence of record that one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the process steps described in Takeuchi et al. to produce burrs or waviness. Thus the ceramic sheet of Takeuchi et al. is taken as meeting the less than 100 micron limitations for these features in the instant claims.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

William! Westregger

WW/ww February 24, 2003